Case 4:06-cv-00396

Jerry J. Jarzombek, Esq. 714 W. Magnolia Avenue Fort Worth, Texas 76104 817-348-8325 817-348-8328 fax

1 of 11 PageID 1
FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTSLET DIST. OF TX.
FT WORTH DIVISION
2006 JUN -7 PM 4: 04
CLERK OF COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

§

§

Document 1 Filed 06/07/06 Page 1

JOSEPH J. DILLON, JR.,

Plaintiff,

VS.

FOCUS RECEIVABLES
MANAGEMENT, LLC, JANELLE TURNER
And MIKE HEAD.

Defendants.

Civil Action No.

COMPLAINT and DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

(Unlawful Debt Collection Practices)

Preliminary Statement

§ §

1. Plaintiff, Joseph J. Dillon, Jr., brings this action under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), as well as under the Texas Debt Collection Act, Tex. Finance Code § 392.001, et seq. ("TDCA") and the Texas Deceptive Trade Practices Act, Subchapter E, Chapter 17, Business & Commerce Code ("DTPA"), to obtain statutory damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and other relief for the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, the TDCA and the DTPA.

2. Defendants, Focus Receivables Management, LLC ("Focus") Janelle Turner ("Turner") and Mike Head ("Head") attempted to collect a consumer debt ("Debt") allegedly owed by Plaintiff, arising from a purported obligation to Daimler-Chrysler. The obligation ("Debt") required Plaintiff to pay money arising out of transactions in which money, property, insurance, or services were the subject thereof and the same were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 3. Jurisdiction of this Court attains pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1337(a), and the doctrine of pendent jurisdiction for the TDCA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 4. Venue in the Northern District of Texas is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)-(c) and because the acts and transactions occurred here and the Defendants transact business here.

Parties

- 5. Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Texas. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(1).
- 6. Focus is a collection agency engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in the Northern District of Texas. The principal purpose of Focus' business is the collection of consumer debts using the mails and telephone, and it regularly attempts to collect consumer debts for others. Focus is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(6). Focus is also a "third-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(7).

- 7. Turner is an individual engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in the Northern District of Texas. The principal purpose of Turner's business is the collection of consumer debts using the mails and telephone, and Turner regularly attempts to collect consumer debts for others. Turner is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(6). Turner is also a "third-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(7). Turner is employed by Focus as a Collector.
- 8. Head is an individual engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts in the Northern District of Texas. The principal purpose of Head's business is the collection of consumer debts using the mails and telephone, and Head regularly attempts to collect consumer debts for others. Head is a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(6). Head is also a "third-party debt collector" as defined by Tex. Finance Code § 392.001(7). Head is employed by Focus as a Collector.

Factual Allegations

- 9. On or about November 7, 2005, Plaintiff had a telephone conversation with Turner. During that conversation, Plaintiff told Turner that he not his father was on the motor vehicle lease agreement. Turner made several representations to the Plaintiff, including the following:
 - a. "...we're going to involuntarily pursue your father ... attach his assets..."
 - b. when Plaintiff told Turner that the social security number on the application belonged to him, and not his father, Turner said "...you didn't qualify ... so they left your dad's info on ... regardless of whose social it is, you haven't paid the bill..."
 - c. "...this is fraudulent..."
 - d. "...if it's not resolved by the end of this month, it's gonna affect him, not you..."

- "... you're not a man of your word, sir ... it's your bill sir..." e.
- 10. The call was transferred to Head, who made several representations to Plaintiff. including the following:
 - "I run the Daimler-Chrysler facility here ... this is absolute fraud..." a.
 - "...come Friday morning ... 10a.m. ... my staff will be on the phone with b. the Fort Worth tax assessor –collector ... investigate ... every single nickel ... if your dog is worth \$50, we'll know it ..."
 - "...I'm so fed up ... your tab will be about twenty grand..." c.
- 11. After reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, the Plaintiff says that the Plaintiff will have evidentiary support to show that someone acting for Focus telephoned Plaintiff's father in an attempt to make him pay his son's debt (suggesting that Plaintiff's father put the balance on a credit card), thereby disclosing information about the debt to Plaintiff's father.
- 12. After reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, the Plaintiff says that the Plaintiff will have evidentiary support to show that Defendants, Focus, Turner and Head made false statements to Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.
- 13. After reasonable opportunity for further investigation and discovery, the Plaintiff says that the Plaintiff will have evidentiary support to show that he did not commit fraud or any other crime.
- The foregoing acts and omissions were undertaken on behalf of the Defendants by 14. their respective officers, agents, or employees acting at all times relevant hereto within the scope of that relationship.

- 15. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants were undertaken by each of them willfully, intentionally, knowingly, and/or in gross disregard of the rights of the Plaintiff.
- 16. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants were undertaken by each of them indiscriminately and persistently, as part of their regular and routine collection efforts, and without regard to or consideration of the identity or rights of the Plaintiff.

First Claim for Relief

- 17. The Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs. The Defendants' violations of the FDCPA include, but are not limited to the a. following:
 - a. In violation of 15 U.S.C. 1692c(b), the Defendants communicated with a third party (a person other than the Plaintiff, Plaintiff's attorney, a consumer reporting agency, the creditor, the creditor's attorney, or the Defendants' attorney) without the Plaintiff's prior consent in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.
 - b. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, the Defendants, Focus and Turner, engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse a person in connection with the collection of a debt, which conduct included:
 - i. Advising Plaintiff that "they" would involuntarily pursue Plaintiff's father to collect Plaintiff's debt;
 - ii. Accusing Plaintiff of having committed fraud;
 - iii. Speaking to a third party for purposes other than obtaining location information.
 - c. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692d, the Defendants, Focus and Head, engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse a person in connection with the collection of a debt, which conduct included:
 - ii. Advising Plaintiff that "they" would conduct an asset investigation, including determining whether Plaintif dog had a value in excess of \$50.00;

- ii. Accusing Plaintiff of having committed fraud;
- iii. Speaking to a third party for purposes other than obtaining location information.
- d. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, 15 U.S.C. § 1692e (2)(a), 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10), and the "least sophisticated consumer standard," the Defendants used objectively false representations and/or false, deceptive, or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of a consumer debt.
- In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(5) and the "least sophisticated consumer e. standard," the Defendants threatened to take an action which cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- f. In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, the Defendants, Focus and Turner, used unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt, which conduct included:
 - iii. Advising Plaintiff that "they" would involuntarily pursue Plaintiff's father to collect Plaintiff's debt;
 - ii. Accusing Plaintiff of having committed fraud;
 - Speaking to a third party for purposes other than obtaining location iii. information.
- In violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, the Defendants, Focus and Head, used g. unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect a consumer debt, which conduct included:
 - Advising Plaintiff that "they" would conduct an asset investigation, iv. including determining whether Plaintif dog had a value in excess of \$50.00;
 - ii. Accusing Plaintiff of having committed fraud;
 - Speaking to a third party for purposes other than obtaining location iii. information.

18. Under 15 USC § 1692k, the Defendants' violations of the FDCPA render them jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for statutory damages, declaratory relief, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees.

Second Claim for Relief

- 19. The Plaintiff repeats, realleges, and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs. The Defendants' violations of the TDCA include, but are not limited to the following:
 - a. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.301(a)(2), the Defendants accused falsely or threatened to accuse falsely, a person of fraud or any other crime.
 - b. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.301(a)(8), Defendants threatened totake (and/or did take) an action prohibited by law.
 - c. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.302(1), Defendants have attempted to collect a debt through oppression, harassment, or abuse by using language intended to abuse unreasonably the hearer or reader.
 - d. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(8), the Defendants misrepresented the character of a consumer debt.
 - e. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(12) the Defendants misrepresented that a consumer debt may be increased by the addition of attorney's fees, investigation fees, service fees, or other charges if a written contract or statute does not authorize the additional fees or charges.
 - f. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(13) the Defendants misrepresented that a consumer debt will definitely be increased by the addition of attorney's fees, investigation fees, service fees, or other charges if the award of the fees or charges is subject to judicial discretion.
 - g. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(14) the Defendants misrepresented the status or nature of the services rendered by the debt collector or the debt collector's business.
 - h. In violation of Tex. Fin. Code § 392.304(a)(19), Defendants used false representations and deceptive means to collect a consumer debt.

20. Under Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403, the Defendants' violations of the TDCA render them jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for statutory damages, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, costs, and reasonable attorney's fees.

Third Claim for Relief

- 21. The Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference the foregoing paragraphs. Pursuant to Tex.Fin.Code Ann. § 392.404, the Defendants' violations of the TDCA also constitute a deceptive trade practice under Subchapter E, Chapter 17, Business & Commerce Code ("DTPA"), and is actionable under that subchapter.
- 22. Under Tex. Bus. & Com. Code Ann. § 17.50(b)(2), the Defendants' violations of the DTPA render them jointly and severally liable to Plaintiff for injunctive relief, and reasonable attorney's fees.

Prayer for Relief

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that this Court:

- 1. Declare that Defendants' actions violate the FDCPA, the TDCA and the DPTA.
- 2. Enjoin the Defendants' actions which violate the TDCA and the DTPA.
- 3. Enter judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendants, jointly and severally, for statutory damages, costs, and reasonable attorneys' fees as provided by 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a) and/or Tex. Fin. Code Ann. § 392.403
- 4. Grant such further relief as deemed just.

Dated: June 7, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry J. Jarzombek

Texas Bar No. 10589050

714 W. Magnolia Avenue Fort Worth, Texas 76104 Voice: 817-348-8325

Fax: 817-348-8328

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands trial by jury in this action.

Dated: June 7, 2006.

Respectfully submitted,

Jerry J/Jarzombek

Texas Bar No. 10589050

714 W. Magnolia Avenue Fort Worth, Texas 76104 Voice: 817-348-8325

Fax: 817-348-8328

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleading of other bapers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use did the Clerk of Clount for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Joesph J. Dillon, Jr. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U S PLAINTIFF CASES) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) | | | DEFENDANTS ZOUD JUN - 7 PM 4: 04 Focus Receivables Management LLC, Janelle Turner and Mike Head CLERN UF COURT County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U S PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED Attorneys (If Known) | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|----------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | 714 W. Magnolia A | The Law Office of Jerry Jarzombek, PLLC Ave., Fort Worth, TX 76104 817-348-8325 | | | CV - 396 | |
| | | | | | | II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) | | TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only) | PRINCIPAL PARTIES | (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) |
| | | | | | | ☐ 1 US Government Plaintiff | ☑ 3 Federal Question (U.S Government Not a Party) | | P | TF DEF 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi | PTF DEF |
| 2 US Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) | | en of Another State | 1 2 Incorporated and a of Business In . | | | | | | | |
| IV NATUDE OF SUIT | T (N) (N) (A) (N) | | en or Subject of a | 3 Foreign Nation | □ 6 □ 6 | | | | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI' | (Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS | FOR | FEITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | | | | | | |
| ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 444 Welfare 445 Amer w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer w/Disabilities - Other 440 Other Civil Rights PERSONAL INJUI 362 Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Persor Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lendin 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability 385 Property Damag Product Liability 530 General 530 General 530 General 535 Death Penalty 550 Civil Rights 555 Pitson Condition | - | 10 Agriculture 20 Other Food & Drug 25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 30 Liquor Laws 40 R R & Truck 50 Airline Regs 60 Occupational Safety/Health 90 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt Relations 30 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act 40 Railway Labor Act 90 Other Labor Litigation 91 Empl Ret Inc Security Act | □ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 840 Trademark □ 840 Trademark □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) □ FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U S Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609 | 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act 895 Freedom of Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes | | | | | | |
| ⊠ 1 Original □ 2 R | Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a | Reop are filing (| stated or 🗆 5 another specific (specific specific specif | al statutes unless diversity) | | | | | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN | Brief description of cause Unlawful debt CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTIO UNDER F.R. C. P. 23 | | EMAND \$ 1,000 + | CHECK YES only | if demanded in complaint | | | | | | |
| COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY | | | | JURY DEMAND: DOCKET NUMBER | ⊠ Yes □ No | | | | | | |
| DATE | Signa jure of | TORNEY | OF RECORD | | | | | | | | |
| June 7, 2006 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY | Tour I | July | ~wr | .0 | | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # FW388 | AMOUNT 350 APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | MAG JUI | DGE | | | | | | |